

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/658,014	09/08/2000	Ryo Osugi	0171-0704P	2775	
75	590 01/22/2002				
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER		
P O Box 747 Falls Church, V	A 22040-0747		LE, DA	LE, DANG D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				,			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		09/658,014	OSUGI ET AL.	OSUGI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dang D Le	2834				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover snee	et with the correspondence ac	aaress			
THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, aply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this of ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
1) <u></u>							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)							
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are withdrawn t	rom consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	· · · · · ·				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0 0 440(=) (=) = (6)				
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
,-	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note of Informal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 09/658,014 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, claims 1 and 6 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "it would not be unduly burdensome for the examiner to examine both [groups] in the application". This is not found persuasive because the search required for one group is not required for the other and these groups have acquired a separate status in the art as discussed in the previous office action.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. However, claim 7, which was mistakenly placed in group II, is now moved into group I because claim 7 is an apparatus claim.

2. Claims 2-5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sefko et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,014

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 7, Sefko et al. show a voice coil motor for a hard disk drive (preamble, patentable weight not given), comprising a yoke component (Figure 1) made from a low carbon steel (column 7, line 38), wherein burrs present on the surface of the yoke component are removed (column 8, line 15-25) by subjecting the yoke component to a barrel polishing treatment (method steps, patentable weight not given in apparatus claim), and then subjecting the yoke component to at least one of an abrasive grain fluidization treatment, a thermal deburring treatment, a magnetic polishing treatment, an ultrasonic deburring treatment, and a water jet deburring treatment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones et al. in view of Landin et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Jones et al. show a voice coil motor (Figures 2 and 3) for a hard disk drive (10), comprising a yoke component (Figure 3), made from a low carbon steel (column 5, line 34), for making up a magnetic circuit (84, 86a and 86b) of the voice coil motor.

Jones et al. do not show the yoke component having on any ridge line thereof no burr of 0.5 mm or less in thickness.

Application/Control Number: 09/658,014

Art Unit: 2834

Landin et al. show the yoke component (Figures 1, 13 and 14) having on any ridge line thereof no burr (column 17, line 42) or burr of 0.0508 mm (column 17, line 47) for the purpose of avoiding damage to wires.

Since Jones et al. and Landin et al. are all from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the yoke component on any ridge line thereof without burr of 0.5 mm or less in thickness as taught by Landin et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/658,014

Art Unit: 2834

DDL January 17, 2002

10

Sony Lh